

APPLICATION NO.

09/750,604

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

7590

Jordan and Hamburg

New York, NY 10168

122 East 42nd Street

08/31/2004

FILING DATE

12/28/2000

EXAMINER

F-6803

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Tadakatsu Izumi

HARRISON, JESSICA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

6756

3714

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. Applicant(s) IZUMI, TADAKATSU 09/750.604

Examiner

Jessica J. Harrison

**Art Unit** 

3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) 🗀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🖾	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. 🗌 🛚	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
-	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>as set forth in the final rejection</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗌 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:  Jessica J. Harrison
	Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3714

Continuation of 2. NOTE: requiring a direct physical linkage between game machines, in an effort to preclude game devices being connceted to a network as in the anticipatory prior art, is at least of scope not previously considered, if accorded it's argued meaning. At a bare minimum such a change in scope would require reconsideration of the applied prior art under 35 USC 103, in addition to the need for further consideration and further search.

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER